

2020-08-12 BOARD ZOOM CHAT

00:12:24 A Human:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1yCYWEZLN0WO-FDPNEveKcqCsaOFX8kE1PxH8WnYgmzKU/edit?usp=sharing>

00:17:20 Peter T: Rule 47

Agenda: (i) the Organising Committee of the Board will collate the agenda. (ii) the agenda will list all items contributed by Directors and active members for consideration. (iii) and be available 48 hours before the meeting . (iv) items on the distributed agenda take priority during the meeting.

00:18:24 Peter T:

From Me to Everyone: 07:39 PM

Rule 47

Agenda: (i) the Organising Committee of the Board will collate the agenda. (ii) the agenda will list all items contributed by Directors and active members for consideration. (iii) and be available 48 hours before the meeting . (iv) items on the distributed agenda take priority during the meeting.

00:19:14 Peter T: It is not up for the board to decide

00:20:21 Peter T: ensure minority opinion is heard and understood by all members present; (iv) seek the consent of the meeting in determining the content and order of the agenda and the consent of the meeting in altering the order of the agenda;

00:20:53 John Magor: Does all that's being currently discussed rule 47?

00:21:10 Peter T: No, all this bypasses rule 47

00:21:18 Peter T: and 33

00:21:21 John Magor: Does all that's being currently discussed address (and conform to) rule 47?

00:22:23 Peter T:

Rule 47

Agenda: (i) the Organising Committee of the Board will collate the agenda. (ii) the agenda will list all items contributed by Directors and active members for consideration. (iii) and be available 48 hours before the meeting . (iv) items on the distributed agenda take priority during the meeting.

00:22:51 Peter T:

Rule 33

ensure minority opinion is heard and understood by all members present; (iv) seek the consent of the meeting in determining the content and order of the agenda and the consent of the meeting in altering the order of the agenda;

00:25:48 John: 19:48

00:25:51 John Magor: Chair - is it clever to entirely disregard the part of the current chat re rules 44 and 47 respectively?

I note your mentioning your disappointment at last week chat - yet, when rules are quoted and raised as a point relating rules are ignored???

00:27:37 A Human:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1ZcL_UiVCwA1YtZdyAqFTTKc6KaJYW6wD/view?usp=sharing

00:31:53 Peter T: This?

ID: 8354 Meeting: Board

Agenda item: Responding to TBT correspondence

Agenda details: Are we responding to TBT's recommendations? Are we

adopting/ratifying any of their points

Motion: We repost to TBT acknowledging their correspondence and include specific Q&A response or at least an acknowledgement of the item itself

Item by: Robin Macpherson

Removed/Discussed: 2020-05-10 16:24:58

00:41:31 Peter T:

Rule 47

Agenda: (i) the Organising Committee of the Board will collate the agenda. (ii) the agenda will list all items contributed by Directors and active members for consideration. (iii) and be available 48 hours before the meeting . (iv) items on the distributed agenda take priority during the meeting.

Rule 33

ensure minority opinion is heard and understood by all members present; (iv) seek the consent of the meeting in determining the content and order of the agenda and the consent of the meeting in altering the order of the agenda;

00:42:28 John Magor: Hearing these issues are still outstanding doesn't instil a great deal of confidence in this board'

00:42:37 John Magor: ...in this board'

00:43:22 John Magor: board's ability to show credibility in meeting its own expectations and commitments.

00:46:11 John Magor: Does the Board recognise the vast inequity with regards how hastily it hands out punitive punishments/removal of and to members -versus- how (very) long it takes to respond to questions about the due diligence of those very same matters?

01:13:26 John Magor: How is it ok to leave a fellow director in the dark - given that the Director wrote to the Board specifically asking to be provided with information? How can a Board that claims to be cooperative make another Director a pariah in this manner?

Kathy asked for information to be provided in an email - yet, here we are, listening to it being done in this manner - and you - as a Board - are prepared to perceive this as 'ok'?

01:14:13 John Magor: What do you call it when a Director asks the Board for information - and there is no response?

01:14:46 Malcolm: The OC should have also been also been informed

01:15:10 John Magor: So - in the interim - why not reply to a fellow Director's request?

01:15:50 John Magor: Kathy DID bring the matter to the Board - and still no response.

01:18:20 Deb & Darren: well spoken John. thank you

01:25:41 John: Normal use of english is enough.

01:28:26 Malcolm: no correct DTE is still functioning and people can vol their time for the purpose of membership

01:29:42 Elisa: This is about FYE 2020, not FYE 2021.

01:34:24 John Magor: ...and what if this approach creates an irregularity in voting - the likes, size, and impact of which is entirely unprecedented in DTE history?

01:38:27 Mark & Suzie Helson: what a joke

01:39:43 Malcolm: Who paid for this legal advice?

01:39:47 John Magor: Does a precedent of something make it ok?

There's a precedent of slavery in a lot of countries - but.....

Maybe this private use of legal advice should be stopped?

01:40:52 Mark & Suzie Helson: does it exist

01:41:17 Malcolm: so what happens when these directors are no longer in this position they still have the knowledge of the legal advice

01:42:12 Kathy and Vanessa: You should not be able to quote legal advice if you are not willing to share it

01:43:40 Malcolm: who paid for this advice?

01:43:49 Malcolm: mute mark

01:44:17 John Magor: Mark claiming to not have read coral's legal advice that was given verbally????

01:45:46 John: Legal advice about not disadvantaging some some members is withheld from most? Disadvantaging those who do not have it?

01:47:05 John Magor: m=Maybe - sometimes, a piece of correspondence is so controversial that, in and of itself, it generates this much discussion - also, sometimes a Board is so structurally dysfunctional and uncooperative of members and that....

01:48:51 John Magor: Chair - on the 'second count' is it not that the monies generated for this matter were created from profitable Confests?

01:49:11 A Human: interesting pointJM

01:49:19 A Human: i will raise it

01:50:03 Malcolm: section 272 of the ACT

01:50:14 Malcolm: 272 Small co-operative—direction by Registrar (cf Corporations Act s 294)

Annexure

(1) The Registrar may give a small co-operative a direction to comply with all or specified requirements of this Division and Divisions 4, 5, 7, 8, 9 and 10 for a financial year.

(2) The small co-operative must comply with the direction.

Maximum penalty: \$1,000.

01:50:40 John Magor: Please Mark, please let people speak without jumping over the top of them as regularly as you do.

It's rude, it's disregarding of the person speaking as well as those trying to listen.

Please.

01:52:21 John Magor: Have all rules with regards private use of DTE vehicles been as arduously been maintained, managed and investigated?

01:54:13 John Magor: Have all rules with regards members private rent monies being paid by DTE been as closely monitored by the Secretary?

01:56:40 Malcolm: so information is about an old act that may not have any effect if this matter is not listed in the new act. Why did the secretary not also quote the section of the new act ?

01:58:11 Malcolm: why did the secretary not mention that the section was from the old act?

01:59:47 John Magor: Secretary - improper use of vehicles for private use?

- Private rent / utility bills being paid?

broad and equitable diligence? Or excessively exclusive?

02:02:21 Malcolm: what is the difference legal and financial

02:02:48 John Magor: That's a very clear 'threat' as written by 'our Secretary' - is it written in a manner that's thoughtful and considerate for the entirety of the membership?

Is it clear or ambiguous?

02:02:48 Malcolm: who will paid for this legal advice?

02:07:45 John Magor: Troy - directly and in writing, I openly say that as a Secretary - I think the letter you produced and sent out was unnecessarily threatening and harsh - and was written in this manner either needlessly, or with an intent, but that it was "unnecessarily threatening and harsh" is, I believe indisputable.

Your consequential discussion of it, I find disingenuous.

02:08:52 Malcolm: does the board have a budget for this legal advice

02:27:18 Elisa: If she wants pipes removed, that could be difficult & sub-optimal for

us.
02:28:04 *♡* jack *♡*: Huge job
02:28:25 Mark & Suzie Helson: Volunteers were on site and with communication this would of been addressed.
02:28:32 *♡* jack *♡*: Andrew took 30+ photos today
02:28:46 Elisa: Thanks Andrew!
02:28:52 John Magor: Great work Jack - please thank Andrew too.
02:28:55 Troy Reid: Thanks Andrew
02:29:42 *♡* jack *♡*: To remove taps is ONE huge task
02:30:20 Troy Reid: No drinking taps or pipes need to be removed
02:30:26 Malcolm: can details of the pipes work laid within 40 meters be provided
02:30:36 Deb & Darren: thank you Andrew ♡ thank you Jack ♡
02:30:52 Elisa: Troy, that's a relief.
02:31:43 Malcolm: where does it say that the pipes do not need to be removed
02:32:07 Troy Reid: During the visit they confirmed that
02:32:57 *♡* jack *♡*: Troy perhaps confirm we can leave taps and metal posts in place
02:33:58 Malcolm: no Mark you said it was an CC matter
02:34:03 Troy Reid: Good idea Jack
02:34:11 Kathy and Vanessa: It doesn't say anything about pipes. It is what is in the order that is important - not a verbal report
02:35:56 *♡* jack *♡*: There are a number of water tanks currently seem empty
02:41:17 John Magor: Chair - would it be useful to request if all Directors present commit to reading the draft within 7 days?
02:43:38 John Magor: Grant - thank you for your frequently demonstrated reason and clear thought.
02:44:57 Malcolm: (6) A resolution approved under this section must be recorded in the minutes of the meetings of the board within 28 days after the resolution is approved under this section.
02:45:06 Kathy and Vanessa: link
02:45:11 Kathy and Vanessa: <https://drive.google.com/file/d/1Wqb9JRty99jPSkuZXy291NgT9Y345mtj/view?usp=sharing>
02:45:50 Malcolm: is there any of these motion more than 28 days old?
02:46:01 Kathy and Vanessa: many
02:46:49 Malcolm: this should be noted in the minutes how old the motions are.
02:49:31 John Magor: Troy - as Secretary, is it within the remit of the Secretarial role to monitor that rule; "(6) {that} A resolution approved under this section must be recorded in the minutes of the meetings of the board within 28 days after the resolution is approved under this section" is being adhered to?
02:50:12 Lindy Hunt: it cant be paid without 48 hours notice
02:50:14 Elisa: FinCom has a copy of Converge invoice for \$2062.50. Is that the one?
02:52:16 Malcolm: May be it is a matter for the OC to review this section of the minutes for any motion more than 28 days old
02:58:20 John: (e) By the 31st of August each year, or such later date as the Board may require, if they have not already done so, members will forward details of their contributions relating to the previous financial year to the Board.
03:04:41 John: If members have not done 24 hours last financial year, how does extending the timehelp?
03:04:55 John Magor: If it is, as stated, that rules are important (and I do think that they are) - why (and how) is it that some rules are more important than others (ie. - discrimination).
What about rule "rule; "(6) {that} A resolution approved under this section must be recorded in the minutes of the meetings of the board within 28 days after the resolution is approved under this section".

Why is this rule not as 'important'?

03:05:50 John Magor: Is it maybe that rules as they pertain to the Board's responsibilities are less 'important'?

03:28:59 Mark & Suzie Helson: good night all♥

03:29:25 Deb & Darren: goodnight ♥

03:47:23 Kathy and Vanessa: photos

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1G9Y_07TmbeFgtHpcTGh85JZcRKQai0NK?usp=sharing

03:47:55 John: Thanks Kathy, I was going to ask for those.

03:48:29 Elisa: broken link

03:48:36 John: yep.

03:48:55 John: Corrected link doesn't work, either.

03:49:31 Kathy and Vanessa:

https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1G9Y_07TmbeFgtHpcTGh85JZcRKQai0NK?usp=sharing

03:49:56 Kathy and Vanessa: that one seems to work

03:49:59 Elisa: that works, thanks Kathy

04:11:07 Deb & Darren: goodnight all, see you tomorrow ♥